



Safeguard Risk Identification Form (SRIF)

Section 1: Project Overview

Identification	Insert Project ID# from Programme Framework Table 202200005
Project Title	Insert title (adding words 'project preparation proposal for' before title) Implementation of Water-Food-Energy nexus using digital technologies for local communities in Mozambique
Managing Division	UNEP -Industry and Economy Division - CTCN
Type/Location	[Global/Normative; Regional; National] National
Region	(Africa/ Europe/ North America/ Asia Pacific/ Latin America Caribbean/ West Asia) Africa
List Countries	Enter country name(s) Mozambique
Project Description	Provide the project summary and description in 2-3 paragraphs Mozambique has a long coastline affected by extreme weather events such as cyclones. During the 2018-2021 period, cyclones Idai, Keneth, and Ana caused

Mozambique has a long coastline affected by extreme weather events such as cyclones. During the 2018-2021 period, cyclones Idai, Keneth, and Ana caused myriad deaths and injuries, alongside the destruction of homes, health units, schools, and crops. The impact of extreme events is predicted to worsen, affecting the most vulnerable sectors including agriculture, water resources and energy. Since the country is highly dependent on agriculture, it is imperative to implement smart agriculture practices that increase productivity, improve food security, bolster resilience, and restore degraded Agro ecosystems.

An estimated 80% of producers in the Central region of Mozambique, specifically in the Zambezi Valley, use motor pumps in the irrigation process, which significantly contributes to water pollution through the spillage of oils, lubricants, and fuel. The use of fuels fossil (charcoal and firewood) contributes to air pollution, as does the reliance upon inorganic fertilizers. There is a lack of capacity and technical knowledge for the dissemination and adoption of sustainable technologies due to the absence of a local budget for the management and implementation of climate resilience programs and a sustainable mechanism for the continuity of post-financing projects, as well as lacking interinstitutional coordination and access to basic information on the technology diffusion process that underpins decision-making.

The objective of this technical assistance is to develop a fit for purpose system for one selected farm in the Zambezi Valley that includes aquaponic, biodigester, bio composting, and hydraulic management systems (including water storage and solar pumping integrated systems for drip irrigation). The assistance will diagnose the needs of local farmers and benchmark international best practices and develop a complete flowchart of the system including the collection and pumping of the water through the photovoltaic system, the use of integrated reservoirs for fish production coupled with horticulture (aquaponics), the generation of compost, and the generation of biogas and biofertilizers as well as





	organic food for the selected farm. The cost of the fit-for-purpose system will be estimated, and finally, training materials and workshops will be developed.	
Relevant Subprogrammes		
Estimated duration of project	Provide the estimate in months from project kickoff to completion. Do not include time spent on concept or design. 15 months	
Estimated cost of the project	Provide the estimated cost for entire project in USD. 225,000 USD	
Name of the UNEP project manager responsible	Rajiv Garg	
Funding Source(s)	AFCIA	
Executing/Implementing partner(s)	CTCN	
SRIF submission version	If it is not the first time, mark the time of your previous submission Concept Review [] During Project development [] PRC [] Other Version 1	
Safeguard-related reports prepared so far	 Feasibility report [] Gender Action Plan [] Stakeholder Engagement Plan [] 	
(Please attach the documents or provide the hyperlinks)	 Safeguard risk assessment or impact assessment [] ES Management Plan or Framework [] Indigenous Peoples Plan [] Cultural Heritage Plan [] Others	

Section 2: Safeguards Risk Summary

A. Summary of the Safeguards Risk Triggered

Safeguard Standards Triggered by the Project	Impact of Risk ¹ (1-5)	Probability of Risk (1-5)	Significance of Risk (L, M, H) Please refer to the matrix below
SS 1: Biodiversity, Ecosystems and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	1	1	L
SS 2: Climate Change and Disaster Risks	2	2	L
SS 3: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	1	1	L
SS 4: Community Health, Safety and Security	2	2	L
SS 5: Cultural Heritage	1	1	L

 $^{^{1}}$ Refer to UNEP Environmental and Social Sustainability Framework (ESSF): Implementation Guidance Note to assign values to the Impact of Risk and the Probability of Risk to determine the overall significance of Risk (Low, Moderate or High).





SS 6: Displacement and In	voluntary Resettlement	1	1	L
SS 7: Indigenous Peoples		2	2	L
SS 8: Labor and working co	onditions	1	1	L

B. ESS Risk Level² -

Refer to the UNEP ESSF (Chapter IV) and the UNEP's ESSF Guidelines.		5	П	П	П	П	П
and the uner's ESSF Guidelines.		4	M	M	Н	Н	Н
Low risk	act	3	L	M	M	M	M
Moderate risk	Impact	2	L	L	M	M	M
High risk		1	L	L	L	L	L
Additional information required		#	1	2	3	4	5
C. Development of SRIF and Screening Dec	cision		<u> </u>	Prok	oabili	ty	-
Prepared by							
Name:Rajiv Garg Date:	16 of C)ctobe	er 20	23			
Screening review by							
Name: Polycarp Odiedo Date: 17/10	0/2023						
Cleared ³							

D. Safeguard Review Summary (by the safeguard team)

This is a low-risk project. However, UNEP ESSF guiding principles - resilience and sustainability; human rights, gender equality and women empowerment, accountability and leave no one behind – as outlined in section 3 are still applicable for low-risk projects.

² **Low risk**: Negative impacts minimal or negligible: no further study or impact management required. **Moderate risk**: Potential negative impacts, but limited in scale, not unprecedented or irreversible and generally limited to programme/project area; impacts amenable to management using standard mitigation measures; limited environmental or social analysis may be required to develop a Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP). Straightforward application of good practice may be sufficient without additional study.

High risk: Potential for significant negative impacts (e.g. irreversible, unprecedented, cumulative, significant stakeholder concerns); Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) (or Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA)) including a full impact assessment may be required, followed by an effective comprehensive safeguard management plan.

³ This is signed only for the full projects latest by the PRC time.





Sa	Safeguard Recommendations (by the safeguard team)		
•	No specific safeguard action required		
•	Take Good Practice approach ⁴		
•	Carry out further assessments (e.g., site visits, experts' inputs, consult affected communities, etc.)		
•	Carry out impact assessments (by relevant experts) in the risk areas and develop management framework/plan		
•	Consult Safeguards Advisor early during the full project development phase		
•	Other		

Section 3: Safeguard Risk Checklist

Screening checklist		Y/N/ Maybe	Justification for the response (please provide answers to each question)
Guidi	ng Principles (these questions should be considered duri		1 ,
GP1	Has the project analyzed and stated those who are interested and may be affected positively or negatively around the project activities, approaches or results?	Y	The project has analyzed and has identified stakeholders who are going to be positively or negatively impacted by the project implementation in consultation with the Ministry of Science and Technology and High Education of Mozambique. The participation of women and youth will be proactively ensured throughout the implementation as per UN/CTCN rules. Local communities and governmental entities have been informed of the technical assistance through the Ministry of Science and Technology and High Education of Mozambique.
GP2	Has the project identified and engaged vulnerable,		The project has identified the vulnerable
	marginalized people, including disabled people, through the informed, inclusive, transparent and equal		groups, including small holders' farmers, and will ensure gender and youth

_

⁴ Good practice approach: For most low-moderate risk projects, good practice approach may be sufficient. In that case, no separate management plan is necessary. Instead, the project document demonstrates safeguard management approach in the project activities, budget, risks management, stakeholder engagement or/and monitoring segments of the project document to avoid or minimize the identified potential risks without preparing a separate safeguard management plan.





GP3	manner on potential positive or negative implication of the proposed approach and their roles in the project implementation? Have local communities or individuals raised human rights or gender equality concerns regarding the project (e.g., during the stakeholder engagement process, grievance processes, public statements)?	participation during the implementation phase through trainings and stakeholder 's consultation process. The mapping of the stakeholders will be revised at the very beginning of the project and an inception meeting will be planned to inform the stakeholders of the start of the initiative one month after the signature of the contract between UNEP and the implementer. No. During the formulation of the proposal no concerns were raised by local communities on human rights. On the contrary, the stakeholders are pushing for the definition a cost estimation of the fit-for-purpose aquaponics system and financial models that can be used in Mozambique for smallholder farmers as it would have an impact on their annual revenues, and food security.
GP4	representation in the design and implementation?	Yes. Gender representative participation has been considered in the design and implementation. As per the CTC-N guidelines approved by the Advisory Board under Climate Convention, a fixed percentage of the project costs are towards gender and youth.
GP5	Did the proposed project analyze relevant gender issues and develop a gender responsive project approach?	Yes, gender has been considered in the design of all the activities of the project proposal. The project team consists of one gender expert out of a team of 8 experts. The stakeholders will be mapped at the very beginning of the project with gender representative participation. An inception meeting will be organized one month after the signature of the contract between UNEP and the implementer. This inception meeting will be the opportunity to able to identify the needs, concerns, ideas, opportunities, roles in the implementation of each stakeholder.
GP6	Does the project include a project-specific grievance redress mechanism? If yes, state the specific location of such information.	Specific grievance redress mechanism
GP7		CTC-N webpage and www.open.unep.org Safeguards documents will be uploaded after approval.
GP8	Were the stakeholders (including affected communities) informed of the projects and grievance redress mechanism? If yes, describe how they were informed.	Yes, the stakeholders were informed about the project and the grievance redress mechanism through the Ministry of Science and Technology and High Education of Mozambique. The Response Plan of the project provides the name of the focal point along with its contact details. The Response plan is public





	and can easily be accessed from the CTCN webpage. (Please refer to the link provided in GP7). Stakeholders will be engaged during the implementation of the project through stakeholder consultations and capacity building that have been planned at all stages of the implementation.
GP9 Does the project consider potential negative impacts from short-term net gain to the local communities or countries at the risk of generating long-term social or economic burden? ⁵	No, the project will develop a suitable system including 4 components: aquaponic, biodigester, bio composting, and hydraulic management systems (including water storage and solar pumping integrated systems for drip irrigation). The overall outcome will be the benchmark of international best practices for similar holistic systems, the development of a complete flowchart of the system, the definition of the specifications of each technology, a cost analysis, and the elaboration of a detailed business model. Finally workshops and capacity building sessions as well as dissemination materials will be developed. There are no negative impacts foreseen, on the contrary the local community is keen to have an enabling environment created for the uptake and dissemination of the technology (technology, training, and financing).
GP10 Does the project consider potential partial economic benefits while excluding marginalized or vulnerable groups, including women in poverty?	The project is expected to have direct impact on food security, as well as the economic activities of the local farmers, including women and youth. Please, refer to section 6, 10, Contribution to the SDGs of the Response Plan. Therefore marginalized/vulnerable groups are included.
Safeguard Standard 1: Biodiversity, Ecosystems and Susta Would the project potentially involve or lead to:	ninable Natural Resource Management
1.1 conversion or degradation of habitats (including	No. There are no conversion or degradation
modified habitat, natural habitat and critical natural habitat), or losses and threats to biodiversity and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	of habitats, neither are losses or threats to biodiversity and/or ecosystems and ecosystems services.
1.2 adverse impacts specifically to habitats that are legally protected, officially proposed for protection, or recognized as protected by traditional local communities and/or authoritative sources (e.g.	Mozambique's environmental conservation areas, which presently cover over 12% of the country, comprise the following six National Parks and six National Reserves.

_

⁵For example, a project may consider investing in a commercial shrimp farm by clearing the nearby mangrove forest to improve the livelihood of the coastal community. However, long term economic benefit from the shrimp farm may be significantly lower than the mangroves if we consider full costs factoring safety from storms, soil protection, water quality, biodiversity and so on.





	National Park, Nature Conservancy, Indigenous Community Conserved Area, (ICCA); etc.)?	Banhine National Park
		Bazaruto Archipelago National Park
		Gorongosa National Park
		Limpopo National Park
		Quirimbas National Park
		Zinave National Park
		Chimanimani National Park
		Niassa Reserve
		None of those are in the Zambezi Valley.
1.3	conversion or degradation of habitats that are identified by authoritative sources for their high conservation and biodiversity value?	No, the project is not implemented in an area identified by authoritative sources for their high conservation and biodiversity value.
1.4	activities that are not legally permitted or are inconsistent with any officially recognized management plans for the area?	No, the project will not be in an area where activities are not legally permitted.
1.5	risks to endangered species (e.g. reduction, encroachment on habitat)?	No
1.6	activities that may result in soil erosion, deterioration and/or land degradation?	No
1.7	reduced quality or quantity of ground water or water in rivers, ponds, lakes, other wetlands?	No
1.8	reforestation, plantation development and/or forest harvesting?	No, the project is not planning any reforestation, plantation development and/or forest harvesting.
1.9	support for agricultural production, animal/fish production and harvesting	Yes, the project is expected to support agricultural production by improving the access to water to smallholder farmers. In addition, the project will involve aquaponic systems which will lead to increased fish production and harvesting.
1.10	introduction or utilization of any invasive alien species of flora and fauna, whether accidental or intentional?	No.
1.11	handling or utilization of genetically modified organisms?	No.
1.12		No.
Safeg	uard Standard 2: Climate Change and Disaster Risks	
	I the project potentially involve or lead to:	
2.1	improving resilience against potential climate change impact beyond the project intervention period?	Yes, the project, if found feasible, will lead to increase resilience against potential climate change impact beyond the project intervention period.
2.2	areas that are now or are projected to be subject to natural hazards such as extreme temperatures,	Mozambique is vulnerable to climate changes, being systematically affected by





	earthquakes, extreme precipitation and flooding, landslides, droughts, severe winds, sea level rise, storm surges, tsunami or volcanic eruptions in the next 30 years?	extreme events such as floods, cyclones, and droughts. These events have a direct impact on agriculture. Considering that Mozambique is struggling to feed its 30 million people over the country, which in most cases is related to the scarcity of water for agricultural purposes, developing a suitable system including aquaponic, biodigester, bio composting, and hydraulic management systems (including water storage and solar pumping integrated systems for drip irrigation) and fit for purpose financial models will empower Mozambique to explore endogenous technologies that will lead to i9ncreased food security.
2.3	outputs and outcomes sensitive or vulnerable to	No.
2.3	potential impacts of climate change (e.g., changes in	NO.
	precipitation, temperature, salinity, extreme events)?	
2.4	local communities vulnerable to the impacts of climate	Yes, the activities of this project will focus on
2.1	change and disaster risks (e.g., considering level of exposure and adaptive capacity)?	rural producer associations in the Zambezi Valley region, where, in light of the principle of gender equality expressed in article 36 of the Constitution of the Republic of Mozambique, for this project will be given a special attention to women in vulnerable situation (Women chief of families or widows, unemployed women).
2.5	increases of greenhouse gas emissions, black carbon	No.
	emissions or other drivers of climate change?	
2.6	Carbon sequestration and reduction of greenhouse	This is an adaptation project but is likely to
	emissions, resource-efficient and low carbon	have a positive impact on climate mitigation.
	development, other measures for mitigating climate	As the GHG emission due to use of Solar
	change	pump would be reduced.
Safeg	uard Standard 3: Pollution Prevention and Resource E	ficiency
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
3.1	the release of pollutants to the environment due to	No.
	routine or non-routine circumstances with the	
	potential for adverse local, regional, and/or	
	transboundary impacts?	
3.2	the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No.
3.3	the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous materials and/or chemicals?	No.
3.4	the use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? (e.g. DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Montreal Protocol, Minamata Convention, Basel Convention, Rotterdam Convention, Stockholm Convention)	No.
3.5	the application of pesticides or fertilizers that may have a negative effect on the environment (including non-target species) or human health?	No.





3.6	significant consumption of energy, water, or other material inputs?	No, solar pumping irrigation technologies associated with best irrigation practices enable an efficient use of water.
Safeg	guard Standard 4: Community Health, Safety and Security	
	ld the project potentially involve or lead to:	
4.1	the design, construction, operation and/or decommissioning of structural elements such as new buildings or structures (including those accessed by the public)?	No.
4.2	air pollution, noise, vibration, traffic, physical hazards, water runoff?	No.
4.3	exposure to water-borne or other vector-borne diseases (e.g. temporary breeding habitats), communicable or noncommunicable diseases?	No.
4.4	adverse impacts on natural resources and/or ecosystem services relevant to the communities' health and safety (e.g. food, surface water purification, natural buffers from flooding)?	No negative impacts on the contrary, positive impacts are foreseen.
4.5	transport, storage use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. fuel, explosives, other chemicals that may cause an emergency event)?	No.
4.6	engagement of security personnel to support project activities (e.g. protection of property or personnel, patrolling of protected areas)?	No.
4.7	an influx of workers to the project area or security personnel (e.g. police, military, other)?	No.
Safec	guard Standard 5: Cultural Heritage	
	Id the project potentially involve or lead to:	
5.1	activities adjacent to or within a Cultural Heritage site?	No.
5.2	adverse impacts to sites, structures or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or to intangible forms of cultural heritage (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	No.
5.3	utilization of Cultural Heritage for commercial or other purposes (e.g. use of objects, practices, traditional knowledge, tourism)?	No.
5.4	alterations to landscapes and natural features with cultural significance?	No.
5.5	significant land clearing, demolitions, excavations, flooding?	No.
5.6	identification and protection of cultural heritage sites or intangible forms of cultural heritage?	No.
Safes	guard Standard 6: Displacement and Involuntary Resettler	nent
	ld the project potentially involve or lead to:	
6.1	full or partial physical displacement or relocation of people (whether temporary or permanent)?	No.
6.2	economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to assets affecting for example crops, businesses, income generation sources)?	No.





6.2	involuntary restrictions on land/water use that deny a community the use of resources to which they have traditional or recognizable use rights?	No.	
6.3	risk of forced evictions?	No.	
6.4	changes in land tenure arrangements, including communal and/or customary/traditional land tenure patterns (including temporary/permanent loss of land)?	No.	
C C			
Safeguard Standard 7: Indigenous Peoples Would the project potentially involve or lead to:			
		No	
7.1	areas where indigenous peoples are present, or uncontacted or isolated indigenous peoples inhabit or where it is believed these peoples may inhabit?	No. Minority groups include Macua 5.5 million (26.1%), Lómuè 1.6 million (7.6%), Sena 1.4 million (6.8%), Chuabo 785,000 (3.8%), Marendje, 75,000 (0.4%), Nyanja 500,000 (2.4%) and Ndau 1.9 million (9%). Minority issues are not sharply drawn in the usual sense in Mozambique. Mozambique's population inhabits the seven provinces north of the River Save. The country's largest ethno-linguistic clusters are here: Macua and related Lómuè (the foremost group in the northern provinces of Nampula, Zambezia, Cabo Delgado and Niassa), Sena (foremost in Sofala province), Chuabo and Marendje (i mportant in Zambezia), Nyanja (foremost in Tete), and the Shonaspeaking Ndau people (dominant in Manica and important in Sofala).	
		The project will be implemented in Zambezi Valley which is not one of the region where indigenous people live.	
7.2	activities located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No. Mozambique's population inhabits the seven provinces north of the River Save. The country's largest ethno-linguistic clusters are here: Macua and related Lómuè (the foremost group in the northern provinces of Nampula, Zambezia, Cabo Delgado and Niassa), Sena (foremost in Sofala province), Chuabo and Marendje (i mportant in Zambezia), Nyanja (foremost in Tete), and the Shonaspeaking Ndau people (dominant in Manica and important in Sofala).	





		The project will be implemented in Zambezi Valley which is not one of the region where indigenous people live.		
7.3	impacts to the human rights of indigenous peoples or to the lands, territories and resources claimed by them?	No, the project is a pre-feasibility study which will not have impacts on human rights of indigenous peoples or to the lands.		
7.4	the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No, the project will focus on existing cultivations.		
7.5	adverse effects on the development priorities, decision making mechanisms, and forms of self-government of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No.		
7.6	risks to the traditional livelihoods, physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No.		
7.7	impacts on the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No.		
Safeguard Standard 8: Labor and working conditions				
8.1	Will the proposed project involve hiring or contracting project staff?	Yes. The implementer will be a network member selected through the bidding process under UNGM and will be mandated to respect the UN code of conduct rules and will meet all the requisites.		
If the answer to 8.1 is yes, would the project potentially involve or lead to:				
8.2	working conditions that do not meet national labour laws or international commitments (e.g. ILO conventions)?	No.		
8.3	the use of forced labor and child labor?	No.		
8.4	occupational health and safety risks (including violence and harassment)?	No.		
8.5	the increase of local or regional unemployment?	No.		
8.6	suppliers of goods and services who may have high risk of significant safety issues related to their own workers?	No.		
8.7 u	nequal working opportunities and conditions for women and men	No.		